



THE PR OFFICE ^{PRO}

Conference of European Rabbis

Coverage Update
23rd August 2019



Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

1/6



Belgium tests EU rules on halal and kosher slaughter

Flanders will test the limits of European law on animal welfare and religious freedom.

By EDDY WAX | 8/19/19, 7:14 AM CET | Updated 8/20/19, 3:04 PM CET



Belgium is turning into a critical test case of where the line lies in European law between animal welfare and religious freedom | Arthur Gekiere/AFP via Getty Images

It is the last year that Mohamed Bouezmarni can help sacrifice around 200 sheep in a southern Belgian slaughterhouse for the Muslim festival of Eid al-Adha.

From September 1, the French-speaking region of Wallonia will be the second Belgian authority after Flanders to ban halal and kosher slaughter as it moves to prohibit killing animals without prior stunning. The bans are the result of a long push by animal welfare activists, but Jewish and Muslim groups fear they are also a sign that the anti-immigrant sentiment of right-wing Flemish nationalists has captured Belgium's political mainstream.





Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

2/6



Lamenting this impending legal obstacle to a festival that he describes as “the equivalent of Christmas,” Bouezmarni, the head of the Muslim association in the town of Arlon, feared that sacrifices could be driven underground.

“Everyone will do it at home, or in some corner, hidden from view. I think that’s not [the animal activists’] aim,” he said.

While traditional slaughter without stunning has already been banned in EU countries such as Sweden, Denmark and Slovenia, Belgium is turning into a critical test case of where the line lies in European law between animal welfare and religious freedom. Belgium’s Constitutional Court [has called on](#) the European Court of Justice to issue a judgment on the ban in Dutch-speaking Flanders, which was introduced on January 1.

“I think that the anti religious slaughter bills are much more to do with two-legged animals, than four-legged animals” — *Pinchas Goldschmidt, president of the Conference of European Rabbis*

That court ruling should come within the next two years and set a precedent for the whole of Europe.

A matter of life and death

When the Walloon and Flemish parliaments voted for the bans almost unanimously in 2017, it was a huge [victory for GAIA](#), Belgium’s animal welfare lobby which styles itself as “the voice of the voiceless.”



Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

3/6



Its president, Michel Vandebosch, has campaigned against religious slaughter for over 20 years. "You can't let, in a modern secular society, religion decide," he said. "If it can be prevented, it should be prevented."

This debate, though, has not always been exclusively about animal welfare. For some, there is a sense that far-right politicians in Flanders used animal suffering as a Trojan horse to push a populist anti-Muslim agenda.



Vlaams Belang current leader Tom Van Grieken supported a parliamentary proposal to ban religious slaughter in May 2015 | Benoit Doppagne/AFP via Getty Images

Six members of Flemish far-right party Vlaams Belang, including its President Tom Van Grieken, made a parliamentary proposal to ban religious slaughter in May 2015.

A representative of [Belgium's Collective Against Islamophobia \(CCIB\)](#) said: "In the past it was only a party like the Vlaams Belang that called for the banning of



Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

4/6



slaughter ... the vocabulary, the discourse, or the ideology of Vlaams Belang has ... become popularized in Flanders, through the N-VA." The right-wing New Flemish Alliance (N-VA) [oversaw the introduction](#) of the ban.



Police Say To Carry This

Police say everyone should carry this new safety device that protects against attackers.
TrySafePersonalAlarm.com

In a 2018 [report](#), the independent research center CRISP in Belgium found that for a considerable number of politicians and members of the broader public, the concept of ritual slaughter was limited to the annual Eid al-Adha, festival of sacrifice. The report states: "In a context of increasing hostility to Islam, the extreme right has seized on this issue, and willingly used shocking images to

convince the public of the 'savagery of this foreign-imported custom'."

GAIA's Vandenbosch accepted that, in the past, the debate around ritual slaughter "was something you didn't talk about because it had this unpleasant smell, because ... it's a bit racist." But he said the issue was now viewed differently. "We took this out of the hands of the extreme right."

Pinchas Goldschmidt, the president of the Conference of European Rabbis, disagreed, stating that the Muslim community was being targeted, with Jews left as the "collateral damage."

"I think that the anti [religious slaughter] bills are much more to do with two-legged animals, than four-legged animals," he quipped.

Despite launching separate legal challenges, Belgian Muslims and Jews feel like they are in the same boat.

"If there is one positive thing that happened from these new attempts to curtail



Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

5/6



religious freedom it is bringing the Jewish and Muslim communities closer together," Goldschmidt said. Imams and rabbis launched the [Muslim Jewish Leadership Council](#) in Vienna in 2016 to campaign on issues of religious freedom across Europe together.

Cultural schism

The gulf between animal rights activists and religious communities, however, could hardly be more stark.

Wallonia's Animal Welfare Minister [Carlo Di Antonio](#) played down the idea that the laws posed an insuperable problem for religious practice. "The Walloon decree was finalized in such a way as to respect freedom of religion," he wrote to POLITICO.



Albert Guigui, the chief rabbi of Brussels, said that the reversible stunning satisfies one current of Islam but stunning, whether reversible or not, is banned for Jews | Laurie Dieffembacq/AFP via Getty Images





Publication: POLITICO (online)

Date: 19.08.19

6/6



A clause in the law states that when religious slaughter takes place non-lethal stunning, also known as reversible stunning, should be used — leading the government to argue the ban does not limit religious freedom. Depending on their cultural background, this is true for some Muslims who can sometimes accept limited forms of stunning, as long as it can wear off. However, it is out of the question for Jews, who have zero tolerance for stunning of any kind.

Albert Guigui, the chief rabbi of Brussels, said: “The legislators have put in the law [that] the stunning must be reversible, which means they are satisfying one current of Islam. But for us whether it’s reversible or not, it’s banned.”

Vandenbosch from GAIA was hostile to such thinking.

“With Kashrut [the laws governing food in Judaism], you have scholars who are fundamentalists, a total unwillingness to evolve,” he said. “That’s a problem in a secular society ... It is like we are from two different planets, we are from Earth and they are from Mars or Jupiter,” he said.

Yohan Benizri, a lawyer fighting the case for the Belgian Federation of Jewish Organizations (CCOJB), condemned that view for singling out Jews and implying that Jews are “not smart enough to realize that they need to change their ways.”

Former Flemish Parliament lawmaker and ex-Green Hermes Sanctorum, the first lawmaker to propose a ban on slaughter without stunning, believed the law has already made a positive impact in his region.

“I think that animal suffering is reduced in a fundamental way.”

But that did not mean the work was over. “Does it mean there is no animal suffering anymore on a large scale? No, of course not. If you divide a million by two you still have 500,000,” said Sanctorum.

<https://www.politico.eu/article/belgium-becomes-eu-test-case-on-halal-and-kosher-slaughter-religious-freedoms-animal-welfare/>





Publication: Daily Express (online)

Date: 20.08.19

1/3



Belgium halal and kosher slaughter ban set to test EU law at European Court of Justice

BELGIUM is set to challenge European Union laws on halal and kosher slaughter in a court case that could have massive repercussions across the bloc.

By **JOE BARNES, BRUSSELS CORRESPONDENT**

PUBLISHED: 16:30, Tue, Aug 20, 2019 | UPDATED: 16:31, Tue, Aug 20, 2019



The french-speaking region of Wallonia, on September 1, will become the second Belgian authority to ban halal and kosher slaughter in a move to ban killing animals without first stunning them. Flanders first introduced a ban, which came into effect on New Year's Day, on the Muslim and Jewish ways of ritually slaughtering animals. Critics of the ban have suggested the move comes as part of a shift to an anti-immigrant shift across Belgium as the right-wing Flemish nationalists continue to cement themselves in mainstream politics.

Belgium's Constitutional Court has asked the European Court of Justice to issue a judgement on the ban in the Dutch-speaking Flanders region.

While the court could take up to two years, any decision could set a precedent for the whole of the European Union.

A number of EU countries, such as Denmark, Sweden and Denmark, have banned traditional slaughter without stunning.

But the court challenge will test European laws between animal welfare and religious freedoms across the bloc.

Longstanding EU rules have created an exception for halal and kosher slaughter under the need for freedom of religion.

The EU's top court has previously ruled that the bloc's official organic logo must not be used on



Publication: Daily Express (online)
Date: 20.08.19
2/3



EXPRESS

any meat derived from animals slaughtered while still conscious.

The Food Standards Agency estimates that around 88 percent of animals killed through halal methods are stunned beforehand using techniques acceptable under Islamic law.

But a minority of halal food and all kosher meat does not involve any stunning during the ritual slaughter.



Halal and kosher slaughter processes banned in Belgium prompt EU court case (Image: GETTY)

Mohamed Bouezmarni, the head of the Muslim association in the town of Arlon, has warned bans could drive the ritual slaughter underground.

He told Politico: "Everyone will do it at home, or in some corner, hidden from view.

"I think that is not the animal activist's aim."

Pinchas Goldschmidt, the president of the Conference of European Rabbis, warned Jews were left as "collateral damage" while bans targeted the Muslim community.

He said: "I think that the anti-religious slaughter bills are much more to do with two-legged animals, than four-legged animals."





THE PR OFFICE ^[PRO]

Publication: Daily Express (online)

Date: 20.08.19

3/3



Carlo Di Antonio, Wallonia's animal welfare minister, insisted the slaughter bans should not impede any religious practices in the region.

He said: "The Walloon decree was finalised in such a way as to respect freedom of religion."

<https://www.express.co.uk/news/world/1167969/EU-news-Belgium-halal-kosher-ban-slaughter-religion-animal-welfare-latest-ecj>

